## REMARKS

The proposed additional Claims 42 - 52 replace Claims 31-41 that have been cancelled. Claims 42 - 52 contain limitations that mirror those of cancelled Claims 31 – 41, respectively. Claims 42-52 have been added to replace Claims 31 – 41 in response to newly adopted rule \\$1.75(b)(2) regarding cross-statutory-category claims. Thus Claims 42 – 52 mirror claims previously examined and allowed, both in language, scope, and in language and scope of the claims upon which they depend, if any. Thus, the new claims do not present any new language, new limitation, or any new combination of limitations, that was not earlier examined. Further consideration is not required.

M.P.E.P. 714.16 states that amendments to claims after allowance should be accompanied by remarks that fully and clearly state the reasons on which reliance is placed to show: (1) why the amendment is needed; (2) why the proposed amended claims require no additional search; (3) why the claims are patentable; and (4) why they were not presented earlier. Accordingly, the following remarks are provided:

- (1) Why the amendment is needed. The claims have been replaced in response to the rules recently adopted by the USTPO (Federal Register, August 21, 2007). Rule §1.75(b)(2) provides that a claim that refers to a claim of a different statutory class of invention will be treated as an independent claim for fee calculation purposes. These new claims avoid referring to any claim of a different statutory class so as not to be treated as an independent claim.
- (2) Why the proposed amended or new claims require no additional search or examination. As mentioned previously, each of the new claims has the same features as previous claims that have already been examined and allowed. Thus, the new claims do not present any new limitation, or any new combination of limitations, that was not earlier examined.

(3) Why the claims are patentable. The new claims are patentable for exactly the same

reasons that their corresponding method claims are patentable. Furthermore, as dependant

claims, the new claims are statutorily allowable.

(4) Why they were not earlier presented. The new claims were not presented earlier

because the new rules were not yet adopted by the USPTO. The new rules were adopted after

allowance of the claims and thus it was not known prior to allowance that these amendments

would be needed.

For the foregoing reasons, entry and allowance of the amendments and additional claims

presented by this amendment is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned by telephone if it is believed that such contact would further the examination of the

present application.

Respectfully submitted,

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER

/RobertSChee#58554/

Robert S. Chee

Registration No. 58,554

**Date: August 29, 2007** 

2055 Gateway Place, Suite 550

San Jose, CA 95110

Telephone: (408) 414-1080

Facsimile: (408) 414-1076

ile: (408) 414-1076

9